

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/087,464	CHISHTI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	N. M. Minnifield	1645	

All Participants:

(1) N. M. Minnifield.

(2) MaryDilys S. Anderson, 52560.

Date of Interview: 14 June 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 9:30 am

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
none

Prior art documents discussed:
none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicants' representative indicated that no amendment was filed in response to the Office Action mailed September 26, 2003. This application is abandoned in favor of filing a CIP application (10/808727).

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


N. M. MINNIFIELD
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)